

A Quick Overview of Conditional Expectations

Definition: Let $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ on a finite measure space $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. A *conditional expectation* of f with respect to a sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable μ -integrable function $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ such that

$$\int gf \, d\mu = \int g \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \, d\mu \quad (\dagger)$$

for all bounded $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions g .

For any positive measurable function φ , we will define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(\min(\varphi, n))$.

Theorem: Conditional expectations exist and are unique μ -almost everywhere. They also satisfy the following:

- (i) $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f) = f$ μ -a.e. for all $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable μ -integrable functions f ;
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f) \geq 0$ μ -a.e. if $f \geq 0$ μ -a.e.;
- (iii) if $(f_n)_n$ is an increasing sequence of positive μ -integrable functions converging almost everywhere to a μ -integrable function f , then $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$ μ -a.e.;
- (iv) if $(f_n)_n \rightarrow f$ is a sequence of integrable functions converging μ -a.e. to an integrable function f , and $|f_n| \leq F$ for some $F \in L^1(X, \mu)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_n) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$;
- (v) if $f_n \leq F$ μ -a.e. for some $F \in L^1(X, \mu)$, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_n) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ μ -a.e.;
- (vi) if $f_n \geq G$ μ -a.e. for some $G \in L^1(X, \mu)$, then $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_n)$;
- (vii) if $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a probability space, and $(\mathcal{B}_n)_n$ is a filtration on $\mathcal{A}$, then for every $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$, we have that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_{\infty}}(f)$ pointwise almost everywhere.

Proof. If

$$\nu(E) = \int f 1_E \, d\mu,$$

then we observe that $\nu \ll \mu$. Define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f) := \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$. By bootstrapping and the properties of the Radon–Nikodym derivative, we obtain the conditional expectation properties, and since Radon–Nikodym derivatives are unique, it follows that the conditional expectation itself is unique.

- (i) Radon–Nikodym derivatives are unique.
- (ii) If ν is a positive measure, then $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ is necessarily a positive function.
- (iii) If $(f_n)_n \rightarrow f$ with $f_n, f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ is increasing, it follows that $g_n = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_n)$ is almost everywhere increasing. So, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n =: g$ is $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable and μ -integrable by Fatou's lemma, so by dominated convergence, the defining property $(\dagger)$, and the uniqueness of Radon–Nikodym derivatives, we obtain that $g = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(f)$.
- (iv) Use dominated convergence along with the defining property $(\dagger)$.
- (v) This emerges from the reverse Fatou's Lemma on finite measure spaces along with the defining property $(\dagger)$.
- (vi) This emerges from Fatou's Lemma along with the defining property $(\dagger)$.
- (vii) We start by showing L^1 convergence. Observe that, if we let $\mathcal{B}_{\infty} = \sigma(\bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n)$, then we have that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f)$. If $f = 1_B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is some N and $C \in \mathcal{B}_N$ such that $\mu(B \Delta C) < \varepsilon$ since such a set exists in the algebra generated by all the $\mathcal{B}_n$. Let $g = 1_C$. Then, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}g = g$ for all $n \geq N$. Since

$$f - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f) = (f - g) + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(g - f),$$

and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}: L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a contractive linear map, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(g)\|_{L^1} &\leq \|f - g\|_{L^1} \\ &= \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so the claim is true for indicators. Bootstrapping yields the desired result.

Next, we show that a version of Chebyshev's inequality holds. That is, we have that

$$\mu\left(\left\{x : \sup_n |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f)| > c\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_X |f| d\mu.$$

For nonnegative $f_n := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f)$, we let $E = \{x : \sup_n f_n(x) > c\}$. If

$$E_j = \{x : f_j(x) > c \text{ and } f_i(x) \leq c \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, j-1\},$$

then E_j are measurable, disjoint, and their union is E . Since $E_j \in \mathcal{B}_j$ and $(\mathcal{B}_j)_j$ is a filtration, we have that f_j is $\mathcal{B}_j$ -measurable. In particular, using monotone convergence and the defining property ($\dagger$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f d\mu &\geq \int_E f d\mu \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} f d\mu \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} f_j d\mu \\ &\geq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j) \\ &= c\mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\psi(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f)(x) - f(x)|.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For sufficiently large n , there is a $\mathcal{B}_n$ -measurable integrable function g such that $\|f - g\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon^2$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_m}(g) = g$ for all $m \geq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f - g)(x)| + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(g)(x) - g(x)| + |f(x) - g(x)| \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f - g)(x)| + |f(x) - g(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\left\{x : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f - g)(x)| > \varepsilon\right\}\right) &\leq \mu\left(\left\{x : \sup_n |\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}_n}(f - g)(x)| > \varepsilon\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|f - g\|_{L^1} \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x) - g(x)| > \varepsilon\}) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|f - g\|_{L^1}$$

$$< \varepsilon,$$

we have that

$$\mu(\{x : \psi(x) > 2\varepsilon\}) \leq 2\varepsilon,$$

so that $\psi \equiv 0$ almost everywhere.

□

Conditional Measures

When $f = 1_A$ is the indicator function on some $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then we define $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{B}}(1_A) =: \mu(A|\mathcal{B})$ as the *conditional measure* of A with respect to the sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$.

We may assert that the sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is produced by a measurable mapping $\pi: X \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{E})$. For a positive μ , we may find for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ a $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function $\mu(A, \cdot)$ such that

$$\mu(A \cap B) = \int_B \mu(A, x) d\mu(x)$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $x \mapsto \mu(A, x)$ is $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable, there is a $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable function $y \mapsto \mu^y(A)$ on Y such that $\mu(A, x) = \mu^{\pi(x)}(A)$. If $\nu = \mu \circ \pi^{-1}$ is the pushforward measure of μ with respect to π on Y , then we may write

$$\mu(A \cap \pi^{-1}(E)) = \int_E \mu^y(A) d\nu(y)$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$. If $E = Y$, then we have

$$\mu(A) = \int \mu^y(A) d\nu(y).$$

That is, we have a Fubini-type theorem where we may compute $\mu(A)$ by computing the conditional measures on the level sets $\pi^{-1}(\{y\})$ and integrating with respect to the measure ν .

Definition: Let $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a sub- σ -algebra. We say a function $\mu^{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{A} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *regular conditional measure* on $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ if

- (i) for every x , $A \mapsto \mu^{\mathcal{B}}(A, x)$ is a measure on $\mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $x \mapsto \mu^{\mathcal{B}}(A, x)$ is $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable and $|\mu|$ -integrable;
- (iii) one has

$$\mu(A \cap B) = \int \mu^{\mathcal{B}}(A, x) d|\mu|(x)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Definition: A system of regular conditional measures μ^y generated by a $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable map $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a function $(A, y) \mapsto \mu^y(A)$ on $\mathcal{A} \times Y$ such that, $\mu^y(\cdot)$ is a measure on $\mathcal{A}$ for fixed y and $y \mapsto \mu^y(A)$ is $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable and $\pi_*|\mu|$ -integrable for fixed A . Furthermore, for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$, it satisfies

$$\mu(A \cap \pi^{-1}(E)) = \int \mu^y(A) d\pi_*|\mu|.$$

If for $\pi_*|\mu|$ -almost every point $y \in Y$, we have $\pi^{-1}(\{y\}) \in \mathcal{A}$, and μ^y is concentrated on $\pi^{-1}(\{y\})$, then we say $\{\mu^y : y \in Y\}$ is a system of *proper* conditional measures.

Lemma: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be countably generated. Then, regular conditional measures on $\mathcal{A}$ are essentially unique.

Furthermore, if μ is a probability measure, then $\mu^{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot, x)$ is a probability measure for μ -a.e. x .

Proof. Let μ_1^B and μ_2^B be two such conditional measures. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable algebra generating $\mathcal{A}$. We have that for every $A_n \in \mathcal{R}$, there is $Z_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mu_1^B(A_n, x) = \mu_2^B(A_n, x)$ for all $x \in X \setminus Z_n$, and $|\mu|(Z_n) = 0$. Take $Z = \bigcup_n Z_n$.

If μ is a probability measure, then $\mu^B(A_n, x)$ is positive μ -a.e. since its integral over every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is positive, and $\mu^B(X, x) = 1$ for μ -a.e. x , so $\mu^B(\cdot, x)$ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{R}$, so it is a probability measure on $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Our next goal is to understand exactly when regular conditional measures exist.

Definition: A family $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is called a compact approximating class if it satisfies the following:

- for any sequence $(K_n)_n$ of elements of $\mathcal{K}$ with $\bigcap_n K_n = \emptyset$, there exists N such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^N K_n = \emptyset$;
- for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $K_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}$ and $A_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_\varepsilon \subseteq K_\varepsilon \subseteq A$ and $\mu(A \setminus A_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$.

Theorem: Suppose the σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is countably generated, and that there is a compact approximating class in $\mathcal{A}$. Then, for every sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, there is a regular conditional measure μ^B such on $\mathcal{A}$.

More generally, let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be a sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ with countable generating subalgebra $\mathcal{U}$. Then, for every sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, there is a regular conditional measure $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_0}^B$ on $\mathcal{A}_0$.

Furthermore, for every $\mathcal{A}_0$ -measurable μ -integrable function f , we have

$$\int f d\mu = \int \int f(y) d\mu_{\mathcal{A}_0}^B(y, x) d|\mu|(x).$$

Proof. We start by considering a probability measure. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable family of subsets of $\mathcal{A}$. Then, for every n , we find $C_{n,k} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $A_{n,k} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_{n,k} \subseteq C_{n,k} \subseteq A$ and $\mu(A_n \setminus A_{n,k}) < 1/k$. Let $\mathcal{U}_0$ be the algebra generated by $\{A_{n,k} : n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By the Radon–Nikodym theorem, for every $A \in \mathcal{U}_0$, there is some positive $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function $x \mapsto p_0(A, x)$ such that $p_0(X, x) = 1$, $p_0(\emptyset, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and

$$\mu(A \cap B) = \int_B p_0(A, x) d\mu(x).$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Notice that $p_0(A \cup B, x) = p_0(A, x) + p_0(B, x)$ for all disjoint $A, B \in \mathcal{U}_0$ and for μ -almost every $x \in X$. Since the set of all pairs (A, B) for which this identity fails is countable as $\mathcal{U}_0$ is countable, the union of all subsets in $\mathcal{B}$ for which this equality fails is measure zero. Therefore, there is a measure zero subset $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \mapsto p_0(A, x)$ is additive on $\mathcal{U}_0$ for all $x \in X \setminus B_0$.

We will show for almost every x , $p_0(A_n, x) = \sup_k p_0(A_{n,k}, x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $q_n(x) = \sup_k p_0(A_{n,k}, x)$. We will show that the set function $p_0(\cdot, x)$ is approximated on the algebra $\mathcal{U}$ by the class $\mathcal{K}$ with respect to $\mathcal{U}_0$.

Since $A_{n,k} \subseteq A_n$, we have a measure zero set $N_{n,k} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $p_0(A_{n,k}, x) \leq p_0(A_n, x)$ for all $x \in X \setminus N_{n,k}$. Therefore, $q_n(x) \leq p_0(A_n, x)$ for all $x \notin N_0 := \bigcup_{n,k=1}^\infty N_{n,k}$. However, since $p_0(A_{n,k}, x) \leq q_n(x)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A_{n,k}) &= \int_X p_0(A_{n,k}, x) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_X q_n(x) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(N_0) = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_k \mu(A_{n,k}) &\leq \int_X q_n(x) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_X p_0(A_n, x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \mu(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_k \mu(A_{n,k}) = \mu(A_n)$, we have that $q_n(x) = p_0(A_n, x)$ for all x save for some measure zero set $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Thus, for all $x \notin N := B_0 \cup B_1$, the additive set function $p_0(\cdot, x)$ on the algebra $\mathcal{U}_0$ is such that $\mathcal{K}$ approximates $p_0(\cdot, x)$ with respect to $\mathcal{U}_0$. This set function is countably additive on $\mathcal{U}$, so by the Carathéodory extension theorem, it extends to a countably additive measure on $\mathcal{A}_0$. If we take $\mu(\cdot, x) = \mu_{\mathcal{A}_0}^{\mathcal{B}}(\cdot, x)$, then for all $x \in N$, we define $\mu(\cdot, x) = \mu$.

We will now verify that this is our desired family of conditional measures. If $A = A_n$, then $x \mapsto \mu(A, x)$ is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{B}$. The class of all sets $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{U})$ for which this is true is a monotone class. Therefore, it coincides with $\sigma(\mathcal{U})$. If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A = A_n$, then by construction, we have

$$\mu(A \cap B) = \int_B \mu(A, x) d\mu(x).$$

Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. The class $\mathcal{E}$ of all $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{U})$ for which this equation holds is monotone. If $E_j \nearrow E$ in $\mathcal{E}$, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_j, x) = \mu(E, x)$, so by the dominated convergence theorem, we have that $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Thus, $\mathcal{E} = \sigma(\mathcal{U})$. Since $\sigma(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{A}_0$, we obtain the desired result.

Finally, it suffices to show the integral equality

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_X \int_X f(y) d\mu(y, x) d\mu(x)$$

for indicator functions on sets in $\mathcal{A}_0$, but it already is the case by definition. $\square$

Finally, we may represent this result in terms of a map π producing a σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}$.

Theorem: Let μ be a (possibly signed) measure on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{A})$, $(Y, \mathcal{E})$ a measurable space, and $\pi: (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{E})$ a map measurable with respect to $(\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ is the σ -algebra of μ -measurable subsets of X with respect to $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose $\pi(X) \in \mathcal{E}_{\pi_*, |\mu|}$, where $\mathcal{E}_{\pi_*, |\mu|}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\pi_*|\mu|$. Additionally, assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is countably generated and μ has a compact approximating class. Then, there exist regular conditional measures μ^y generated by π on $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$. Then, if $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\mu$ in the previous theorem, we find a conditional measure $\mu^{\mathcal{B}}(A, x)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that the function $x \mapsto \mu^{\mathcal{B}}(A, x)$ is $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. Therefore, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable function $\eta(A, y)$ such that $\mu^{\mathcal{B}}(A, x) = \eta(A, \pi(x))$.

By the hypothesis, there is $Y_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ with $Y_0 \subseteq \pi(X)$ and $\pi_*|\mu|(Y \setminus Y_0) = 0$. Thus, for all $y \in Y_0$, $\eta(A, y)$ is a measure as a function of A . Define $\mu^y(A) = \eta(A, y)$. If $y \notin Y_0$, we let $\mu^y = \mu$. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, the function $y \mapsto \mu^y(A)$ is $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable since $Y \setminus Y_0 \in \mathcal{E}$. These are our desired regular conditional measures. $\square$